



June 9, 2026 City Council Meeting

## Title

Consider a request to rezone 850 Canterbury Court — Planning Commission and Adam Flethcer, Community Development

## Summary

Project name	N/A
Address/Location	850 Canterbury Court
Tax Map Parcels	23-V-14
Total Land Area	+/- 12,692 square feet
Property Owner	Robin L. Lake
Owner's Representative	N/A
Present Zoning	R-1, Single-Family Residential District
Proposed Zoning	R-8C, Small Lot Residential District Conditional
Planning Commission	May 13, 2026 (Public Hearing)
City Council	June 9, 2026 (First Reading/Public Hearing) Anticipated June 23, 2026 (Second Reading)

## Recommendation

Option 1. Staff and Planning Commission (7-0) recommend approval of the rezoning request.

## Context & Analysis

The following land uses are located on and adjacent to the property:

Site: Single-family detached dwelling, zoned R-1

North: Single-family detached dwelling, zoned R-1

East: Across undeveloped Maryland Avenue, single-family detached dwelling, zoned R-1

South: Single-family detached dwelling, zoned R-1

West: Across Canterbury Court, single-family detached dwelling, zoned R-1

The applicant is requesting to rezone a +/- 12,692-square foot property from R-1, Single-Family Residential District to R-8C, Small Lot Residential District Conditional. The property is addressed as 850 Canterbury Court and is identified as tax map parcel 23-V-14. If approved, the applicant intends to replace the existing covered front porch with a larger covered front porch that would encroach into the current R-1 district's minimum front yard setbacks. The applicant states in their letter that the new porch would be expanded from six feet to no further than 12 feet from the home to avoid the unstable, rocky ground affecting the footers of the existing porch.

### *Proffers*

The applicant has offered the following proffers (written verbatim):

The property shall be limited to one (1) single-family detached dwelling and customary accessory uses.

In the R-8 district, single-family detached homes and duplexes are allowed by right so long as, among other things, lot area and dimensional requirements are met. The submitted proffer prevents more than a single unit within the R-8-zoned area.

While the R-8 district was created in 2019 to provide additional flexibility for housing opportunities by establishing smaller lots, the City has reviewed requests to rezone properties to R-8 in established neighborhoods on a case-by-case basis. Planning Commission and City Council have previously approved requests to rezone properties from R-1 and R-2 to R-8 for the purpose of reducing minimum setbacks. In reviewing those requests, staff noted that, in addition to proffering a maximum number of dwellings, applicants often also proffered minimum front yard setbacks to ensure that any construction or modifications on the property would remain generally consistent with the established surrounding properties.

Staff suggested for the applicant to consider proffering a minimum front yard setback of 20 feet. The applicant expressed concern that the covered front porch has not yet been designed and was reluctant to proffer a specific minimum setback at this time. Staff would have preferred such a proffer to provide greater certainty regarding the uniformity of the property frontage relative to the neighboring properties.

### *Land Use*

The Comprehensive Plan designates this site as Low Density Residential and states:

These areas consist of single-family detached dwellings in and around well-established neighborhoods with a target density of around 4 dwelling units per acre. The low density residential areas are designed to maintain the character of existing neighborhoods. It should be understood that established neighborhoods in this designation could already be above 4 dwelling units per acre.

The rezoning request, with the submitted proffer, retains the target density for the Low Density Residential designation, and the R-8 district's occupancy regulations are the same as the R-1 district's regulations. With the stated proffer to allow only one dwelling, rezoning to R-8 should not have major adverse effects on the surrounding properties.

### *Transportation and Traffic*

A traffic impact analysis (TIA) was not required for the rezoning request.

### *Public Water and Sanitary Sewer*

Staff has no concerns with the requested rezoning regarding water and sanitary sewer matters.

### *Housing*

Rezoning this property to R-8 will not impact housing. The property currently has one single-family detached dwelling, and the proposed proffer would continue to restrict the property to having only one dwelling unit.

### *Public Schools*

If the rezoning is approved, no additional dwelling units would be added to the property; therefore, the student generation is zero.

### *Conclusion*

While staff would have preferred a proffer establishing a minimum front yard setback in order to ensure consistency with the neighboring properties, the request retains both the occupancy and density of the R-1, Single-Family Residential District, and is consistent with the Comprehensive Plan. Staff recommends approval of the rezoning.

### **Options**

1. Approve the rezoning request.
2. Deny the rezoning request.

### **Attachments**

- Extract from Planning Commission
- Site maps
- Application and supporting documents