

# CITY OF HARRISONBURG COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

409 SOUTH MAIN STREET, HARRISONBURG, VA 22801 OFFICE (540) 432-7700 • FAX (540) 432-7777

- To: Eric Campbell, City Manager
- From: Adam Fletcher, Director Department of Community Development and Harrisonburg Planning Commission
- Date: April 13, 2021 (Regular Meeting)
- Re: Rezoning and Special Use Permit 161 and 241 Blue Ridge Drive (R-1 to R-5C and to Allow Multi-Family Dwellings of More Than Twelve Units Per Building)

#### Summary:

Consider two requests from Martha E. Grover, Trustee and MG Harrisonburg LLC with representatives Bluestone Land LLC:

- 1. To rezone two parcels from R-1, Single Family Residential District to R-5C, High Density Residential District Conditional, and
- 2. For a special use permit per Section 10-3-55.4 (1) to allow multi-family dwellings of more than 12 units per building in the R-5, High Density Residential District.

The two parcels totaling +/- 7.0 acres are addressed as 161 and 241 Blue Ridge Drive and are identified as tax map parcels 28-G-2 and 1, respectively. Note that public hearings for these two items were previously held at Planning Commission on December 9, 2020 and at City Council on February 9, 2021. The report included below presents the entire proposed project as was originally submitted, but includes more and new information about an additional proffer and information from the completed Comprehensive Housing Assessment and Market Study. This report is also presented with the presumption that Zoning Ordinance amendments are made to Sections 10-3-55.4 (1) and (2) and 10-3-55.6 (e).

Staff and Planning Commission (5-2) recommended approval of the rezoning and SUP request as submitted.

# **Background:**

On February 9, 2021, City Council received two separate applications from Martha E. Grover, Trustee and MG Harrisonburg LLC with representatives Bluestone Land LLC. The first was to rezone two parcels from R-1, Single-Family Residential District to R-5C, High Density Residential District Conditional. Because the applicant would like to construct buildings with more than 12 multi-family units per building, the second request was for a special use permit (SUP) per Section 10-3-55.4 (1) to allow multi-family dwellings of more than 12 units per building in the R-5, High Density Residential District. Both of these requests were presented to Planning Commission on December 9, 2020. Staff and Planning Commission (4-2) recommended denial of the rezoning and the special use permit.

The staff memorandum for the rezoning and SUP stated that staff believed the applicant had adequately addressed conditions #2 and #4 within Section 10-3-55.6 (e), but found it difficult to believe that conditions #1 and #3 were met. Staff also stated that "consideration should be given to whether or not the regulatory controls within Section 10-3-55.6 (e) should be alleviated or removed. These regulations were created in 2007 and could no longer be relevant or needed. Additionally, if Planning Commission desires, staff can also review the Land Use Guide and evaluate whether amendments should be made for this site. This may be appropriate to do after the housing study is completed in January 2021."

During the February City Council meeting, the rezoning and SUP requests were tabled and referred back to the Planning Commission for review noting that the applicant had offered a new proffer and because the Comprehensive Housing Assessment & Market Study was completed since Planning Commission's December 9<sup>th</sup> review. In addition, City Council directed staff to draft Zoning Ordinance amendments to remove conditions (1) and (3) and to draft any alternative recommendation staff might believe is necessary for Section 10-3-55.6 (e). Staff's review and recommendation of the Zoning Ordinance amendment is explained in a separate memorandum.

The Comprehensive Plan designates this site as Medium Density Mixed Residential. These areas have been developed or are planned for small-lot single-family detached and single-family attached (duplexes and townhomes) neighborhoods, where commercial and service uses might be finely mixed within residential uses or located nearby along collector and arterial streets. Mixed-use buildings containing residential and non-residential uses and multi-family dwellings could be appropriate under special circumstances. Attractive green and open spaces are important for these areas and should be incorporated. Open space development (also known as cluster development) is encouraged, which provides for grouping of residential properties on a development site to use the extra land for open space or recreation. Like the Low Density Mixed Residential designation, the intent is to have innovative residential building types and allow creative subdivision designs that promote neighborhood cohesiveness, walkability, connected street grids, community green spaces, and the protection of environmental resources or sensitive areas (i.e. trees and floodplains). Residential building types such as zero lot-line development in these areas could be around 20 dwelling units per acre. Commercial uses would be expected to have an intensity equivalent to a Floor Area Ratio of at least 0.4, although the City does not measure commercial intensity in that way.

The following land uses are located on and adjacent to the property:

Site:	A nonconforming, nonoperating commercial truck terminal and undeveloped land, zoned
	R-1
<u>North:</u>	Across Blue Ridge Drive, single-family detached dwellings, zoned R-1
East:	Across Country Club Road, single-family detached dwellings, zoned R-1
South:	Across Country Club Court, vacant parcel, zoned R-3; and across Chesapeake Western
	Railroad tracks and Country Club Court, townhomes, zoned R-3
West:	Across East Market Street, commercial properties, zoned B-2

# Key Issues:

The applicant has submitted two separate applications. The first is to rezone two parcels from R-1, Single Family Residential District to R-5C, High Density Residential District Conditional. Because the applicant would like to construct buildings with more than 12 multi-family units per building, the second request is

for a special use permit (SUP) per Section 10-3-55.4 (1) to allow multi-family dwellings of more than 12 units per building in the R-5, High Density Residential District. (Note: Constructing multi-family dwellings of not more than 12 units per building is a by right ability in the R-5 district.) If both requests are approved, Bluestone Land LLC plans to construct 142 multi-family dwelling units within four, 3 and 4-story multi-family buildings as illustrated in the attached conceptual site layout (Exhibit A).

The applicant has offered the following proffers (written verbatim):

- 1. <u>Occupancy Restrictions and Parking:</u> Dwelling units may be occupied by a single family or no more than three (3) unrelated persons. A minimum of 1.5 parking spaces per dwelling unit shall be provided.
- 2. <u>Bicycle and Pedestrian Connection:</u> The owner will design and construct a 10-ft wide shared use path connection from the western terminus of Blue Ridge Drive to the western boundary of 919 Oakland Street (Tax Map Parcel # 028 F 1) as general shown on Exhibit A. In the event the City obtains necessary easement or right-of-way prior to final paving of the development, then the owner will also construct the shared use path connection to East Market Street. The final alignment of the connection will be determined and eventually agreed to at the site plan review stage of the project.
- 3. <u>Road and Sidewalk Improvements:</u> The following improvements will be constructed as part of this development:
  - a. Blue Ridge Drive shall be improved to include 2.5' curb and gutter, 6' planting strip, and 5' sidewalk along the project frontage. The face of the proposed curb shall be located 13' from the centerline of Blue Ridge Drive.
  - b. Country Club Road shall be improved to include 2.5' curb and gutter, 6' planting strip, 10' shared use path, and 2' path shoulder. The face of the proposed curb shall be located 6' from the existing edge of pavement.
  - c. A 5' wide sidewalk with a 2' planting strip shall be installed along the project frontage of Country Club Court.
- 4. <u>Donation of Right-of-Way:</u> For the purpose of road improvements to the intersection of Blue Ridge Drive and Country Club Road, the Owners shall provide a right-of-way to the City across 028 G 1 and 028 G 2 as generally shown on the Concept Plan dated 11-25-20, attached as Exhibit A, within 90 days of the issuance of building permits.
- 5. <u>Donation of Additional Parcels</u>: For the purpose of future improvements to Blue Ridge Drive, MG Harrisonburg LLC has entered into a Development Agreement with the City of Harrisonburg regarding donation of Tax Map Parcels 028 F 1 and 028 F 2 to the City. Those parcels are not included in this Rezoning Application.
- 6. <u>Density and Unit Mix</u>: The development shall contain a maximum of 142 units. Units shall be limited to 1, 2, and 3 bedroom units, of which a minimum of 75% shall be 2 bedroom units or less.
- 7. <u>Parking Lot Placement-</u> Parking shall not be located between the proposed apartment buildings and Blue Ridge Drive or Country Club Road. This proffer does not apply to amenity structures, maintenance facilities, and/or other accessory structures.

- 8. <u>Bus Shelter-</u> The Owner will coordinate with the City to identify and provide a location for a bus shelter if requested during the site plan review phase of the project. Owner shall provide a concrete pad for City-provided shelter at a mutually agreeable location.
- 9. <u>Playground-A playground will be provided within the development.</u>
- 10. <u>Street Trees-</u> Street Trees will be provided along Blue Ridge Drive and Country Club Road frontages. Tree spacing will average 60 ft on center for medium or large maturing trees and 30 ft on center for small maturing trees. Location of street trees to be in the proposed planting strip <u>or</u> behind the sidewalk, depending on the location of above or below grade utilities.
- 11. <u>Affordable Housing</u> The Owner shall provide affordable housing equal to five percent (5%) of the total residential dwelling units within the Project in the form of for-lease affordable dwelling units. The Owner shall convey responsibility of constructing the affordable units to any subsequent purchaser of the Property. The Owner shall create units affordable to households with incomes at or less than 80% of the area median family income at the time of initial move-in (the "Affordable Unit Qualifying Income"). This requirement shall apply for a period of fifteen (15) years following the date the final Certificate of Occupancy for the Project is issued by the City of Harrisonburg (the "Affordable Term"). During the Affordable Term, each January, the Owner shall provide to the City of Harrisonburg a Compliance Report for the prior year to demonstrate that 5% of the units were rented in accordance with this proffer.

While the proffers refer to elements within Exhibit A, the conceptual site layout is not specifically proffered.

The R-5 district allows by right dwellings to be occupied by a family or not more than four unrelated persons. Proffer #1 reduces the allowable occupancy of dwelling units to either a family or not more than three unrelated persons. With this proffer, because the minimum off-street parking requirements of Section 10-3-25 (7) allow for reduced parking when occupancy is restricted, the development does not require as much parking as would have been required under the standard R-5 district. Although the applicant could have been allowed the flexibility of providing only one parking space per unit, they proffered that they would provide a minimum of 1.5 parking spaces per unit. If the maximum number of 142 dwelling units (Proffer #6) were constructed, then 213 off-street parking spaces would be required. In the conceptual site layout, the applicant has shown a scenario in how they might organize off-street parking spaces. The applicant understands that parking requirements, among other details, would be reviewed during the engineered comprehensive site plan phase of development to ensure that all regulations are met.

With Proffer #2, the applicant has proffered design and construction of a 10-foot wide shared use path connection from the western terminus of Blue Ridge Drive to the western boundary of 919 Oakland Street. Because the full connection to the intersection of East Market Street and Martin Luther King, Jr. Way will require that the City obtain easements or right-of-way from tax map parcel 28-G-11, which is parallel to East Market Street and not owned by the applicant, the applicant has described in the proffer that "[i]n the event the City obtains necessary easement or right-of-way prior to final paving of the development, then the owner will also construct the shared use path connection to East Market Street." It is unknown at this time whether easements or right-of-way will be needed from 28-F-1 (919 Oakland Street) or if the shared use path can be constructed entirely on public right-of-way and 28-G-11. It is understood that if the full connection is not made by the owner, then the City will later complete the connection. Right-of-way acquisition and new crosswalks at the intersection of East Market Street and Martin Luther King Jr. Way

will be included with the Virginia Department of Transportation's (VDOT) Exit 247 project, which is scheduled for construction advertisement in November 2022.

Proffer #3 addresses frontage improvements along Blue Ridge Drive, Country Club Road, and Country Club Court. Staff requested the applicant's consideration to construct a 10-foot wide shared use path along the Blue Ridge Drive frontage to connect the future shared use path connection to East Market Street and the future shared use path on Country Club Road that would be constructed with the development. At this time, the applicant explained that they are not comfortable committing to a shared use path along Blue Ridge Drive because there are many unknowns still to coordinate with utilities, greenspace, and building setbacks.

Proffers #4 and #5 address dedication of land to the City for right-of-way associated with the Martin Luther King Jr. Way Extension to Country Club Road identified in the 2018 Comprehensive Plan's Street Improvement Plan as project NE-17. The description within the Street Improvement Plan includes:

"... [c]onstruct new three lane road extension of Martin Luther King Jr Way from East Market Street to Country Club Road, with sidewalk on one side and a shared use path on the other side. Construct transit transfer center and park and ride lot near to East Market Street and the I-81 interchange, accessed by Martin Luther King Jr Way extension..."

While the Martin Luther King, Jr. Way extension project has been in the Comprehensive Plan's Street Improvement Plan since 2011, when the most recent Comprehensive Plan was adopted in 2018, the addition of a planned transit transfer center on the subject property was added. Included in the packet is an excerpt from the March 2018 "Harrisonburg Downtown Transit Center Conceptual Design Report" that describes and illustrates the proposed Martin Luther King Jr. Way extension. It should be noted that while the City still has interest in the road extension project to improve connectivity, the transit transfer center project is no longer being pursued by the City at this location.

With Proffer #4, the applicant would donate right-of-way for future road improvements by the City at the intersection of Blue Ridge Drive and Country Club Road as conceptually illustrated in Exhibit A within 90 days of building permit issuance. If the rezoning request is approved, but the proposed, conceptual development does not come to fruition, a building permit for any new construction, addition, or renovation on the site would trigger the requirement to dedicate land for public street right-of-way.

Proffer #5 includes donation of properties identified as tax map parcels 28-F-1 and 2 located between Oakland Street and tax map parcel 28-G-11 (which is the parcel that is parallel to East Market Street and stretches the entire block length from Blue Ridge Drive to North Carlton Street) for the future connection between Martin Luther King Jr. Way and Blue Ridge Drive. The two parcels are not part of the proposed development and are not included as part of the rezoning request, but they are owned by the same property owner at this time. Those parcels would remain zoned R-1. Details for the land donation are described in the attached Development Agreement entered between the applicant and the City. The Development Agreement describes that if the City has funding in place to advertise for construction of the connection between Martin Luther King Jr. Way and Blue Ridge Drive within 15 years of rezoning approval, then the owner of 28-F-1 and 2 would donate those parcels to the City.

Proffer #6 restricts the development to a maximum of 142 dwelling units that are limited to 1, 2, and 3bedroom units, and of the 142 units, a minimum of 75 percent of them shall be 2-bedroom units or less. This means that at least 107 units will be either one or two bedroom units.

Proffer #7 is intended to promote pedestrian friendly design by placing buildings close to the street by prohibiting parking between the multi-family buildings and Blue Ridge Drive and Country Club Road. Concentrating people and places along the public street creates an environment that is more accessible, interesting, and safer for pedestrians, which are designs and environments that staff promotes.

Proffer #8 would require a bus shelter be installed if requested by the City during the engineered comprehensive site plan phase of the project, while Proffer #9 would require a playground within the development.

If the conceptual layout shown was developed, the City's Parking Lot Landscaping regulations would require street trees along Country Club Court because there is a parking lot adjacent to the public street. However, along Blue Ridge Drive and Country Club Road there is no parking lot adjacent to the public street so no street trees would be required. Proffer #10 would require street trees along the Blue Ridge Drive and Country Club Road frontages. The specific location of the trees and whether they would be within the 6-foot planting strip within public street right-of-way or on private property behind the sidewalk will be determined during the engineered comprehensive site plan phase.

Proffer #11 was added by the applicant between the December 2020 Planning Commission meeting and February 2021 City Council meeting. The proffer states that for 15 years after the final certificate of occupancy is issued, 5 percent of the total number of units will be in the form of for-lease affordable dwelling units for households with incomes at or below 80 percent area median income (AMI). It should be noted that family size will not be considered for thresholds. In FY2020, the US Department and Urban Development reported that the Harrisonburg area's AMI was \$71,900 and 80-percent AMI is \$57,500. If 142 dwelling units were constructed, then 5percent of those units or 7.1 dwelling units rounded up to 8 dwelling units would be reserved for households with incomes at or below the 80-percent AMI.

As demonstrated in the Determination of Need for a Traffic Impact Analysis (attached), the development did not meet the 100-trip peak-hour threshold that gives City staff the ability to require a Traffic Impact Analysis. When a development reaches or exceeds 100-trips in the peak hour, this threshold is what typically causes concern for traffic safety and delays. The development is estimated to generate only 51 additional trips in the PM peak hour. The development is estimated to generate approximately 760 trips per day, on both weekdays and weekends, according to the Institute of Transportation Engineers' Trip Generation Manual, 10<sup>th</sup> Edition. Distributing the traffic between two entrances, both located on side streets, and not on a primary thoroughfare, further reduces these concerns, as does the provision of multimodal options for the residents. Any increased traffic at the Blue Ridge Drive/Country Club Road and East Market Street/North Carlton Street intersections can be accommodated by signal timing modifications. The overall Level of Service (LOS) (a measure of delay) should not be significantly impacted. Spotswood Drive, Oakland Street, and Country Club Court can absorb additional traffic within an acceptable LOS at these unsignalized intersections. Staff acknowledges that the proposed development will cause an increase in traffic volume on surrounding streets, especially Oakland Street and Spotswood Drive, and that while small lot single-family detached, duplex, or townhome development on the subject

site may not result in as many dwelling units, those types of developments would also cause an increase in traffic volume.

As previously mentioned, City Council directed staff to draft Zoning Ordinance amendments to remove conditions (1) and (3) and to draft any alternative recommendation staff might believe is necessary for Section 10-3-55.6 (e). Staff's review and recommendation of the Zoning Ordinance amendment is explained in a separate memorandum, and staff has recommended that all four conditions be removed and to amend Section 10-3-55.4 (1) and (2) by removing the references to Section 10-3-55.6 (e) as the references would no longer apply. Since staff is recommending to remove the four conditions within Section, 10-3-55.6 (e), the remainder of this staff report and recommendation is under the presumption that the amendment is approved.

From a design and site layout perspective, staff likes the applicant's proposal to mass buildings close to the public street with off-street parking relegated behind buildings and to create multi-family structures with more than 12-dwelling units. Staff believes that massing buildings close to the public street with parking behind the buildings is more compatible with existing single-family detached development along Blue Ridge Drive than smaller multi-family buildings with parking lots surrounding the buildings and adjacent to public streets.

Staff acknowledges adjacent neighbors' concerns about the height of the proposed multi-family buildings along Blue Ridge Drive. The views from adjacent residential uses and from the public street will have views to large buildings (including a 66-unit building, two 24-unit buildings, and a 28-unit building). As illustrated in the attached conceptual site development layout, Building Type 1 is proposed to be 3-stories tall at a height of 48'-8" and Building Type 2 is proposed to be 4-stories tall at a height of 47'-3", which is 13'-8" and 12'-8" taller, respectively, than the maximum height allowed in the R-1 district of 35-feet. Know that the R-5 district allows up to 52-feet in height for multi-family buildings and that the conceptual building heights are not proffered.

With regard to the height of the planned structures, staff believes that on the Country Club Road frontage, the railroad and Country Club Court frontage, and the East Market Street frontage, that there is little or no issues with incompatibility. Country Club Road is a relatively busy road and by constructing taller buildings and potentially closer to the street along with the construction of the multi-use path and the proffered street trees, the proposed development could create an environment that could slow traffic due to the visual aesthetic and to create a more pedestrian friendly area. Proffer #7 will likely push most structures to be located near the public streets, and given the necessary setback along the property line of the railroad tracks and the existing layout of the townhouse development within Country Club Court, taller structures adjacent to the railroad tracks and the townhouses should be insignificant. With regard to East Market Street, the elevation drawing of the subject site provided in the attached conceptual site layout shows that the planned buildings will sit about 14-feet lower than East Market Street, and thus there is negligible impact with regard to height. The impact on Blue Ridge Drive is the main focus of contention. There is no doubt that for the existing single-family homes along Blue Ridge Drive, the built environment will be different, but it does not mean the residential structure will be entirely incompatible. The proffered street trees will help soften the visual impact while the minimum setback of 10-feet in the R-5 district and the six-foot planting strip with a five-foot sidewalk will create a pedestrian environment that staff believes will establish a pleasing community setting.

Staff agrees with the applicant that a multi-family residential development on this site is more compatible with surrounding land uses than a nonconforming truck terminal. The applicant describes that several

existing conditions, including overhead electric transmission lines and easements, proximity of the Chesapeake Western Railway, and the floodplain present obstacles for development of small lot singlefamily detached and attached homes, and staff believes that these are some of the contributing reasons that could support the "special circumstances" referred to in the Comprehensive Plan's Land Use Guide description for Medium Density Mixed Residential, as to where multi-family dwellings could be appropriate. Additionally, with the proposed layout for the development, the multi-family structures are located mostly outside of the floodplain and away from the railway. It should also be understood that the Medium Density Residential designation that is identified for the Country Club Court townhome community, which is adjacent to the subject site, also specifies that multi-family development could also be appropriate in special circumstances for properties having that designation as well.

Staff believes that the proposed development consisting of one, two, and three-bedroom units, where at least 75-percent of the units will be one and two-bedroom units will benefit the City. The City's Comprehensive Housing Assessment and Market Study (housing study), which was completed in January 2021 identified a shortage of rental housing units that are affordable to the lowest and highest income renter households (0-30% and above 80% AMI) and found that "[t]here is significant mismatch with many higher income households residing in more affordable units and lower income households residing in more costly units." Among renters, the study noted several key findings of the housing mismatch, which included:

- "There are significantly more households than units in the 0-30% AMI tier. This tier includes most student households (including dependent and independent students), persons needing supportive housing, elderly households, and other household types that are non-student, non-elderly households.
- The vast majority of rental units are naturally occurring affordable housing, meaning that the unit is affordable to a household earning up to 80% AMI without public subsidy; 81% of all rental units are affordable to households with incomes up to 80% AMI.
- Because there are many more households with incomes above 80% AMI but few available for this income tier, these higher income households occupy rental units that cost less, therefore increasing competition among lower income households for the affordable units.
- The vacancy rate is low; CHAS data identified that only 2% of rental units were vacant."

The housing study explained that when the rental vacancy rate is low at 2%, it indicates "a very tight market with an inadequate inventory. This creates high levels of competition within the market as renters compete for scarce units and where the lowest income households have the fewest options."

The housing study also identified that "[o]nly 10% of all [rental] units are affordable to 0-30% AMI households, most of which are large units" and "[t]here are only 230 studio and one-bedroom units affordable to 0-30% AMI households, which is a critical unit type needed to meet the needs of households consisting of 1-2 persons and single persons needing supportive housing." The proposed development could contribute to help to address the need for more one-bedroom units in the City. Even if there were more two-bedroom units rather than one-bedroom units constructed, overall, this project could help the City with the current housing situation because it would add more units to the market.

Lastly, the housing study places the subject site within Market Type A and notes that "priorities and policies that are appropriate to market Type A areas include an emphasis on increasing density through zoning changes, infill development and housing rehabilitation to maintain the quality of housing." Staff believes that the proposal utilizes two parts of the recommendation by increasing density with the zoning change and that this project is a larger-scale, infill development.

Staff recommends approval of the rezoning and special use permit requests.

Environmental Impact: N/A

**Fiscal Impact:** 

N/A

#### **Prior Actions:**

N/A

#### **Alternatives:**

- (a) Approval of the rezoning and SUP request as submitted;
- (b) Approval of the rezoning and SUP request with conditions on the SUP;
- (c) Approval of the rezoning request and denial of the SUP request;
- (d) Denial of the rezoning and SUP request.

#### **Community Engagement:**

As required, the requests were published in the local newspaper twice advertising for Planning Commission's public hearings and twice advertising for City Council's public hearings. The advertisements were published as shown below:

# Rezoning – 161 and 241 Blue Ridge Drive (R-1 to R-5C)

Public hearing to consider a request from Martha E. Grover, Trustee and MG Harrisonburg LLC with representatives Bluestone Land LLC to rezone two parcels from R-1, Single Family Residential District to R-5C, High Density Residential District Conditional. The R-1, Single-Family Residential District is intended for low-density, relatively spacious single-family residential development. The R-5, High Density Residential District is intended for medium to high density residential development, including townhouses and multiple family dwelling units, together with certain governmental, educational, religious, recreational and utility uses. The residential density ranges for the R-5 district are multifamily, 1,800 sq. ft. minimum/unit; multifamily quadraplex, 3,000 sq. ft. minimum/unit; and townhouse, 2,000 sq. ft. minimum/unit. The Comprehensive Plan designates this site as Medium Density Mixed Residential. These areas have been developed or are planned for small-lot single-family detached and single-family attached (duplexes and townhomes) neighborhoods, where commercial and service uses might be finely mixed within residential uses or located nearby along collector and arterial streets. Mixed-use buildings containing residential and non-residential uses and multi-family dwellings could be appropriate under special circumstances. The gross density of development in these areas could be around 20 dwelling units per acre. The two parcels totaling +/- 7.0 acres are addressed as 161 and 241 Blue Ridge Drive and are identified as tax map parcels 28-G-2 and 1, respectively.

# Special Use Permit - 161 and 241 Blue Ridge Drive (Section 10-3-55.4 (1) to Allow Multi-Family Dwellings of More Than Twelve Units Per Building)

Public hearing to consider a request from Martha E. Grover, Trustee and MG Harrisonburg LLC with representatives Bluestone Land LLC for a special use permit per Section 10-3-55.4 (1) to allow multi-family dwellings of more than 12 units per building in the R-5, High Density Residential District. The two

parcels totaling +/- 7.0 acres are addressed as 161 and 241 Blue Ridge Drive and are identified as tax map parcels 28-G-2 and 1, respectively.

In addition, adjoining property owners were notified of the public hearings; the property was posted with signage advertising the requests; and a notice was provided on the City's website at <a href="https://www.harrisonburgva.gov/public-hearings">https://www.harrisonburgva.gov/public-hearings</a>.

#### **Recommendation:**

Staff recommends alternative (a) approval of the rezoning and SUP request as submitted.

#### **Attachments:**

- 1. Extract from Planning Commission
- 2. Site maps
- 3. Updated application, applicant letter, and supporting documents
- 4. Conceptual site development layout and site illustrations
- 5. Harrisonburg Downtown Transit Center Conceptual Design Report, March 2018
- 6. Extract of Minutes from the December 9, 2020 Planning Commission Meeting
- 7. Extract of Minutes from the February 9, 2021 City Council Meeting

#### **Review:**

On December 9, 2020, Planning Commission recommended denial (4-2) of the request to rezone two parcels from R-1, Single Family Residential District to R-5C, High Density Residential District Conditional.

On December 9, 2020, Planning Commission recommended denial (4-2) of the special use permit per Section 10-3-55.4 (1) to allow multi-family dwellings of more than 12 units per building in the R-5, High Density Residential District.

On February 9, 2021, City Council tabled the rezoning and SUP requests and referred them back to the Planning Commission for review.

On March 10, 2021, Planning Commission recommended (5-2) alternative (a) approval of the rezoning and SUP request as submitted.